

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/082,534	STIRBU, VLAD ALEXANDRU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Virgil Herring	2132	

All Participants:

(1) Virgil Herring.

(2) James Retter.

Status of Application: Non-finally rejected 5 Sept. 2006

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2007

Time: 12:55 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 Rejection of claim 12

Claims discussed:

Claim 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SEE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested permission to cancel or amend claim 12 to overcome the rejection of claim 12 under 101 to prepare the case for allowance. Mr. Retter reviewed the case and called back with a response to amend the claims. In searching for support for an amendment, it was found that there is no corresponding structure for the Application Program Interface in the specification. Examiner again contacted Mr. Retter to inform him that the case would not be allowed after all..